

# Solving Fuzzy Bi-Criteria Fixed Charge Transportation Problem Using a New Fuzzy Algorithm

Amit Kumar, Anila Gupta\*, Mahesh Kumar Sharma

*School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala-147004, India*

**Abstract:** The bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem is an extension of the classical transportation problem. The bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in a crisp environment is, often, not effective in dealing with imprecision or vagueness in the values of the problem parameters. To deal with such situations, it has been proposed that the parameters should be represented as fuzzy numbers. Hence, bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment is considered here. In existing approaches, the programming problems in fuzzy environment are solved by converting them into crisp environment by choosing appropriate membership functions and thus, the solutions are also crisp numbers. However, in this paper, a new algorithm is proposed to solve the above said problem using a linear ranking function, without converting it into crisp environment and the solutions derived are fuzzy numbers. The algorithm is suitably illustrated with a numerical example. Numerical results are compared for both fuzzy and crisp versions of the problem.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 03E72, 90C70.

**Keywords:** trapezoidal fuzzy number; bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem; linear ranking function.

## 1. Introduction

The fixed charge transportation problem was originally formulated by Hirsch and Dantzig [1] in 1954. Several methods, thereafter, have been developed to find exact and approximate solutions of fixed charge transportation problem [2-11]. In classical transportation problem, the cost of transportation is directly proportional to the number of units transported. But in real life situation, when a commodity is transported, a fixed cost is incurred in objective function. It may represent the cost of hiring a vehicle, landing fee in an airport, setup costs for machines in a manufacturing environment etc. Many distribution problems, in practice, can be modelled as

fixed charge transportation problems. For example rail, roads and trucks have invariably used a freight rate, which consists of a fixed cost and variable cost.

The real world problems are multi-objective in nature. Most of the practical transportation problems appear with two objectives known as bi-criteria transportation problems. There are two objectives – minimization of cost of transportation and minimization of time of transportation. Most of the methods for solving bi-objective transportation problems developed on giving higher priority to minimize cost than time. However, sometimes there may exist emergency situations when

---

\* Corresponding author; e-mail: [anilasingal@gmail.com](mailto:anilasingal@gmail.com)

*Accepted for Publication: October 26, 2010*

time of transportation is more important than cost of transportation e.g. fire services, police services etc.. This led to development of procedures for minimization of time of transportation [12-14].

The crisp parameters are not effective in dealing with vagueness and impreciseness in real life problems. To address these, bi-criteria fixed-charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment is used. Zadeh [15], first, introduced the concepts of fuzzy sets to deal with real life situations. Bellman and Zadeh [16], first, introduced the fuzzy sets theory into multi-criteria analysis for effectively dealing with the imprecision, vagueness and subjectiveness of the human decision making. Since then, significant advances have been made in developing numerous methodologies and their applications to various decision problems.

Real numbers can be linearly ordered by  $\geq$  or  $\leq$ . This type of inequality does not exist in fuzzy numbers. Since fuzzy numbers are represented by possibility distribution, they can overlap with each other and it is difficult to determine clearly whether one fuzzy number is larger or smaller than other. An efficient approach for ordering the fuzzy numbers is by the use of a ranking function  $\mathfrak{R}: F(R) \rightarrow R$ , where  $F(R)$  is a set of fuzzy numbers defined on real line, which maps each fuzzy number into the real line, where a natural order exists. Thus, specific ranking of fuzzy numbers is an important procedure for decision-making in a fuzzy environment and generally, has become one of the main problems in fuzzy set theory.

The method for ranking was first proposed by Jain [17]. Yager [18] proposed four indices which may be employed for the purpose of ordering fuzzy quantities in  $[0,1]$ . Further references in this direction can be found in [19-22]. Ranking function is used in different areas of fuzzy optimization [23-33].

In this paper, a new algorithm using linear ranking function is proposed for solving

bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment. Existing approaches to solve the bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem under fuzzy environment use Zimmermann approach [34] to convert the fuzzy linear programming problem in crisp linear programming problem and it is then solved to find the optimal solutions. To use existing approaches, one should have good knowledge of fuzzy linear programming problems, Zimmermann approach and methods to solve crisp linear programming problem. It is very difficult to implement the existing algorithms to programming language.

On the other hand, the proposed algorithm can be easily implemented into a programming language and there is no need of Zimmermann approach. Moreover, the proposed method is very easy to understand and apply. The optimal solutions are fuzzy numbers and by using ranking function, results can be converted into crisp numbers.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, basic definitions, arithmetic operations and a ranking function are reviewed. In Section 3, the formulation of bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment is explained. In Section 4, a new algorithm using linear ranking function is proposed. In Section 5, to illustrate the proposed algorithm, a numerical example is solved. In Section 6, results in crisp and fuzzy environment are compared. In Section 7, conclusions are discussed.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, basic definitions, arithmetic operations and ranking functions are reviewed [22, 35].

### 2.1. Basic definitions

In this subsection, some basic definitions are reviewed [35].

**Definition 1** The characteristic function  $\mu_A$  of a crisp set  $A \subseteq X$  assigns a value either 0 or 1 to each member in  $X$ . This function can be generalized to a function  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$  such that the value assigned to the element of the universal set  $X$  fall within a specified range  $[0,1]$  i.e.,  $\mu_{\tilde{A}} : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ . The assigned values indicate the membership grade of the element in the set  $A$ . The function  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$  is called the membership function and the set  $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)) : x \in X\}$  defined by  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$  for each  $x \in X$  is called a fuzzy set.

**Definition 2** A fuzzy set  $\tilde{A}$ , defined on the universal set of real numbers  $R$ , is said to be a fuzzy number if its membership function has the following characteristics:

1.  $\mu_{\tilde{A}} : R \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous.
2.  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, a] \cup [d, \infty)$ .
3. It is strictly increasing on  $[a,b]$  and strictly decreasing on  $[c,d]$ .
4.  $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in [b,c]$ .

**Definition 3** A fuzzy number  $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d)$  is said to be a trapezoidal fuzzy number if its membership function is given by

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)}, & a \leq x < b \\ 1, & b \leq x \leq c \\ \frac{(d-x)}{(d-c)}, & c < x \leq d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in R$ .

### 2.2. Arithmetic operations

In this subsection, arithmetic operations between two trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, defined on universal set of real numbers  $R$ , are reviewed [35].

Let  $\tilde{A}_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1)$  and

$\tilde{A}_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2)$  be two trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, then

(i)  $\tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2 = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2, d_1 + d_2)$

(ii)  $\tilde{A}_1 \ominus \tilde{A}_2 = (a_1 - d_2, b_1 - c_2, c_1 - b_2, d_1 - a_2)$

(iii)  $\lambda \otimes \tilde{A}_1 = \begin{cases} (\lambda a_1, \lambda b_1, \lambda c_1, \lambda d_1) & \lambda > 0 \\ (\lambda d_1, \lambda c_1, \lambda b_1, \lambda a_1) & \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$

### 2.3. Ranking function

A convenient method for comparing fuzzy numbers is by the use of ranking function [22]. A ranking function  $\mathfrak{R} : F(R) \rightarrow R$ , where  $F(R)$ , set of all fuzzy numbers defined on set of real numbers, maps each fuzzy number into a real number. Let  $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d)$  be a trapezoidal fuzzy number, then  $\mathfrak{R}(\tilde{A}) = \frac{a+b+c+d}{4}$ .

Let  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  be two fuzzy numbers, then

(i)  $\tilde{A} \underset{\mathfrak{R}}{\geq} \tilde{B}$  if  $\mathfrak{R}(\tilde{A}) \geq \mathfrak{R}(\tilde{B})$

(ii)  $\tilde{A} \underset{\mathfrak{R}}{>} \tilde{B}$  if  $\mathfrak{R}(\tilde{A}) > \mathfrak{R}(\tilde{B})$

(iii)  $\tilde{A} \underset{\mathfrak{R}}{=} \tilde{B}$  if  $\mathfrak{R}(\tilde{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\tilde{B})$

### 3. Formulation of bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment

Basu et al. [36] proposed a method to solve bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in crisp environment by assuming that there is no uncertainty about the values of parameters (cost, time etc.). But in real life situations, there is always some uncertainty about parameters. Several authors have represented

the parameters as fuzzy numbers for solving real life problems [37-38]. To overcome this shortcoming, in this section, the problem discussed in [36] is formulated in fuzzy environment where transportation cost, transportation time and fixed cost are represented by trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and a new algorithm, using linear ranking function, is proposed for minimizing both total fuzzy cost and maximum fuzzy time of transportation simultaneously.

Consider  $m$  sources, each of which is capable of producing a certain product and supply it to  $n$  destinations. Let  $a_i$  denotes the production capacity of source  $i$  and  $b_j$  represents the quantity demanded at the destination  $j$ . Suppose  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  and  $\tilde{t}_{ij}$  denote the approximate transportation cost of one unit and approximate transportation time from source  $i$  to destination  $j$  respectively. Let  $\tilde{f}_i$  be the approximate fixed charge incurred at the source  $i$ , depending upon number of units transported. Then the fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment may be formulated as follows:

(P) Minimize

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{f}_i, \text{ maximum}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} [\tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0] \right\} \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \leq a_i, \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, m \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = b_j, \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

where  $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ip}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$  are given constants and  $\tilde{f}_{il}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, m; l=1, 2, \dots, p$  are given fuzzy costs and  $\tilde{f}_i$  for the  $i^{th}$  source can be calculated in the following manner:

If  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} > a_{i1}$  and less than  $a_{i2}$  i.e. if total number of units transported from  $i^{th}$  source are more than  $a_{i1}$  but less than  $a_{i2}$  then  $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i1}$ .

If  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} > a_{i2}$  and less than  $a_{i3}$  i.e. if total number of units transported from  $i^{th}$  source are more than  $a_{i2}$  but less than  $a_{i3}$ , then  $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i1} + \tilde{f}_{i2}$  and continue like this when  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} > a_{ip}$ , then  $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i1} + \tilde{f}_{i2} + \dots + \tilde{f}_{ip}$ .

The problem is solved in the following way:

Minimize total fuzzy cost of transportation without considering fuzzy time of transportation. Thereafter, find maximum fuzzy time of transportation corresponding to the minimum total fuzzy cost so obtained. Subsequently, minimize total fuzzy cost of transportation after modifying fuzzy transportation costs with respect to the maximum fuzzy time obtained in the previous result. Then, find maximum fuzzy time with respect to the minimum total fuzzy cost so obtained. Repeat the process till the solution is infeasible. The procedure is known as re-optimization procedure.

#### 4. Proposed algorithm

In this section, a new algorithm is proposed to solve the bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment using a linear ranking function. The various steps of the proposed algorithms are as follows:

**Step 1** First of all balance problem (P) by introducing dummy destination and then, split it into two separate problems ( $P_1$ ) and ( $P_2$ ) for solving it by re-optimization procedure

where

(P<sub>1</sub>) Minimize the total fuzzy cost  $\tilde{Z}$ , where

$$\tilde{Z} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{f}_i \right\}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_{ij} = a_i, \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = b_j, \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, n+1 \quad x_{ij} \geq 0$$

(P<sub>2</sub>) Minimize the maximum fuzzy time  $\tilde{T}$ , where

$$\tilde{T} = \text{maximum}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n+1}} [\tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0]$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_{ij} = a_i, \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = b_j, \text{ for } j=1, 2, \dots, n+1 \quad x_{ij} \geq 0$$

In problems (P<sub>1</sub>) and (P<sub>2</sub>), the fuzzy transportation cost and fuzzy transportation time associated with the dummy cells are zero trapezoidal fuzzy numbers.

**Step 2** Find a initial fuzzy basic feasible solution of the problem (P<sub>1</sub>) with respect to fuzzy transportation costs only.

**Step 3** Let  $B$  be the basis of current fuzzy basic feasible solution. Calculate the fuzzy fixed cost of the current fuzzy basic feasible solution and denote it by  $\tilde{F}$  (current), where

$$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{f}_i$$

**Step 4** Find  $(\tilde{c}_{ij} \ominus \tilde{u}_i \ominus \tilde{v}_j)$ , for all  $(i, j) \notin B$  and denote it by  $(\tilde{c}_{ij})^1$ , where  $\tilde{u}_i$

and  $\tilde{v}_j$  are the fuzzy dual variables, for  $i=1, 2, \dots, m; j=1, 2, \dots, n+1$ , where fuzzy dual variables are decision variables of the dual of the problem considered in Step 2.

**Step 5** Find  $\tilde{A}_{ij} = (\tilde{c}_{ij})^1 \otimes E_{ij}$  (for all  $(i, j) \notin B$ ), where  $\tilde{A}_{ij}$  is the change in fuzzy cost for introducing a non-basic cell  $(i, j) \notin B$  with value  $E_{ij}$  into current basis  $B$  by making reallocation.

**Step 6** Find  $\tilde{F}_{ij}$  (Difference) =  $\tilde{F}_{ij}$  (NB)  $\ominus$   $\tilde{F}$  (Current), where  $\tilde{F}_{ij}$  (NB) is the fuzzy fixed cost involved for introducing a non-basic cell  $(i, j) \notin B$  with values  $E_{ij}$  into current basis  $B$  by making reallocation.

**Step 7** Find  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij} = \tilde{F}_{ij}$  (Difference)  $\oplus \tilde{A}_{ij}$ , for all  $(i, j) \notin B$ .

**Step 8** If  $\Re(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}) \geq 0$ , then go to Step 9. Otherwise, find minimum  $\{ \tilde{\Delta}_{ij} : \Re(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}) < 0, (i, j) \notin B \}$ .

Then  $x_{ij}$  associated with  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$  ( whose rank is minimum ) will enter into the basis. Go to Step 3.

**Step 9** Let  $\tilde{Z}_1$  be the minimum total fuzzy cost.

**Step 10** Find  $\tilde{T}_1$  where  $\tilde{T}_1 = \text{maximum}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n+1}} [\tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0]$ , then the corre-

sponding pair  $(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{T}_1)$  may be called the first fuzzy cost- time trade-off pair.

**Step 11** To find 2<sup>nd</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair  $(\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{T}_2)$ , change  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$ 's in problem (P<sub>1</sub>)

$$\text{as: } \tilde{c}_{ij} = \begin{cases} (M, M) & \text{if } \Re(\tilde{t}_{ij}) \geq \Re(\tilde{T}_1) \\ \tilde{c}_{ij} & \text{if } \Re(\tilde{t}_{ij}) < \Re(\tilde{T}_1) \end{cases}$$

where  $(M, M)$  is sufficiently large trapezoidal fuzzy number.

Now, find fuzzy initial basic feasible solution

of new problem ( $P_1$ ) with respect to fuzzy transportation cost only.

- (i) If total fuzzy cost is very large i.e. it depends upon  $M$ , then solution is infeasible and it is not possible to find new fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair. Consequently the process of obtaining fuzzy cost-time trade-off pairs terminates.
- (ii) Otherwise apply Steps 3 to 10 to obtain  $(\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{T}_2)$ .

**Step 12** Third and subsequent cost-time trade-off pairs can be obtained in the same manner as is done for second fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair.

Let after  $q^{th}$  fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair, the solution is infeasible. Then the complete set of cost-time trade-off pairs is  $(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{T}_1), (\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{T}_2), \dots, (\tilde{Z}_q, \tilde{T}_q)$  where  $\tilde{Z}_1 < \tilde{Z}_2 < \dots < \tilde{Z}_q$  and  $\tilde{T}_1 > \tilde{T}_2 > \dots > \tilde{T}_q$ .

#### 4.1. Advantages of proposed method

The advantages of the proposed method are:

- i. Goal and parametric programming techniques are not used.
- ii. The optimal solution is a fuzzy number.

$$\tilde{f}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 70, 80, \\ 100, 150 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 30, 40, \\ 50, 80 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 30, 40, \\ 50, 80 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 90, 100, \\ 200, 210 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 30, 40, \\ 50, 80 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{f}_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 30, 40, \\ 50, 80 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{31} = \begin{pmatrix} 100, 150, \\ 200, 350 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 70, 80, \\ 100, 150 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{f}_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} 30, 40, \\ 50, 80 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the source  $i$ , the fixed charge  $\tilde{f}_i$  can be calculated in following manner:

If  $\sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} > 0$  and less than 7 i.e. if total number of units transported from  $i^{th}$  source are more than 0 but less than 7, then

iii. The proposed method is very easy to understand and apply.

iv. There is no need of using Zimmermann approach.

v. The proposed algorithm can be easily implemented into a programming language.

#### 5. Numerical example

Now we illustrate the algorithm, proposed in Section 4, by applying it to following numerical problem to obtain the set of fuzzy cost-time trade-off pairs. Table 1 provides tableau representation of numerical problem. In this Table, rows 1-3 correspond to sources and columns 1-3 correspond to destinations. Row 4 gives demands of destinations and column 4 gives capacities of sources. Upper and lower entries of  $(i, j)^{th}$  cell represent fuzzy transportation cost of one unit and fuzzy transportation time from  $i^{th}$  source to  $j^{th}$  destination respectively. For the calculations of approximate fixed costs ( $\tilde{f}_i$ ) at the sources, we consider that  $p=3, a_{i1}=0, a_{i2}=7, a_{i3}=10, \text{ for } i=1,2,3$ . The fuzzy costs are given as:

$\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i1}$ . If  $\sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} > 7$  and less than 10 i.e. if total number of units transported from  $i^{th}$  source are more than 7 but less than 10, then  $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i1} + \tilde{f}_{i2}$  and if total number of units

transported from  $i^{th}$  source are more than

10 i.e.  $\sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} > 10$ , then  $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i1} + \tilde{f}_{i2} + \tilde{f}_{i3}$ .

Table 1. Tableau representation of numerical problem

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	Capacities ( $a_i$ )
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 4, \\ 5, 10 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 15, 30 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 3, 5, \\ 8, 16 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 3, \\ 4, 8 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 12, 13 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2, 4, \\ 6, 12 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 6, 7, \\ 13, 26 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 5, 6, \\ 11, 22 \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 2, 4, \\ 6, 12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 4, 5, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \end{pmatrix}$	11
Demands ( $b_j$ )	5	8	15	

So, we are considering the following  $3 \times 3$  bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment.

(P) Minimize  $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i, \text{maximum} \left[ \tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0 \right] \right\}$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} \leq a_i, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} = b_j, \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

Using Step 1, the above problem (P) is first balanced and then divided into two separate problems ( $P_1$ ) and ( $P_2$ ) for solving it by re-optimization procedure where

( $P_1$ ) Minimize  $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i \right\}$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 x_{ij} = a_i, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} = b_j, \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3,4$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$(P_2) \quad \text{Minimize } \left\{ \text{maximum}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 4}} [\tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0] \right\}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 x_{ij} = a_i, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} = b_j, \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3,4$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

Table 2 gives  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  for  $i=1,2,3; j=1,2,3,4$  and Table 3 gives

So, we are considering the following  $3 \times 3$  bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problem in fuzzy environment.

$$(P) \quad \text{Minimize } \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i, \text{maximum}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 3}} [\tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0] \right\}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} \leq a_i, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} = b_j, \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

Using Step 1, the above problem (P) is first balanced and then divided into two separate problems (P<sub>1</sub>) and (P<sub>2</sub>) for solving it by re-optimization procedure where

$$(P_1) \quad \text{Minimize } \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i \right\}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 x_{ij} = a_i, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} = b_j, \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3,4$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$



$$(P_2) \quad \text{Minimize } \left\{ \text{maximum}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 4}} [\tilde{t}_{ij} : x_{ij} > 0] \right\}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 x_{ij} = a_i, \quad \text{for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} = b_j, \quad \text{for } j=1,2,3,4$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0$$

Table 2 gives  $\tilde{c}_{ij}$  for  $i=1,2,3; j=1,2,3,4$  and Table 3 gives  $\tilde{t}_{ij}$  for  $i=1,2,3; j=1,2,3,4$ .

Table 2. Fuzzy transportation cost for one unit

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$a_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,4, \\ 5,10 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,3, \\ 4,8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2,4, \\ 6,12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	11
$b_j$	5	8	15	12	40

Table 3. Fuzzy transportation time

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$a_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 5,10, \\ 15,30 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,5, \\ 8,16 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 5,10, \\ 12,13 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 6,7, \\ 13,26 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5,6, \\ 11,22 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 2,4, \\ 6,12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4,5, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8,9, \\ 17,34 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	11
$b_j$	5	8	15	12	40

**1<sup>st</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair:**

The total fuzzy cost, which is to be minimized, is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 \tilde{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_i = \sum_{l=1}^3 \delta_{il} \tilde{f}_{il} \text{ for } i=1,2,3$$

$$\delta_{i1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} > 0 \text{ for } i=1,2,3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{i2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} > 7 \text{ for } i=1,2,3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{i3} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} > 10 \text{ for } i=1,2,3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The initial fuzzy basic feasible solution of Table 2 with respect to fuzzy transportation cost [39] is given in Table 4. The right most column gives the fuzzy fixed cost of the current solution.

Table 4. Initial fuzzy basic feasible solution

Destination (j) → Source (i) ↓	1	2	3	4	$\tilde{f}_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,4, \\ 5,10 \end{pmatrix}$ (5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$ (2)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ (12)	$\begin{pmatrix} 70,80, \\ 100,150 \end{pmatrix}$
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,3, \\ 4,8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2,4, \\ 6,12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$ (10)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 120,140, \\ 250,290 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$ (8)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$ (3)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 200,270, \\ 350,580 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i =$					$\begin{pmatrix} 390,490, \\ 700,1020 \end{pmatrix}$

Total fuzzy cost is:

$$5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1, 4, \\ 5, 10 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 10 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 8 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 401, 537.5, \\ 779.5, 1178 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathfrak{R} \begin{pmatrix} 401, 537.5, \\ 779.5, 1178 \end{pmatrix} = 724$$

Applying Steps 4, 5, 6 and 7, the obtained values of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$  for all  $(i, j) \notin B$ , are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Values of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$

$(i, j)$	(1,2)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,4)	(3,1)	(3,4)
$(\tilde{C}_{ij})^1$	$\begin{pmatrix} -17, -4, \\ 4, 17 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -11, 2, \\ 8, 25 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -20, -2, \\ 9, 29 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -2, 4, \\ 8, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9, 0.5, \\ 6.5, 22 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 4.5, \\ 8.5, 18 \end{pmatrix}$
$E_{ij}$	2	5	8	10	3	3
$\tilde{A}_{ij}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -34, -8, \\ 8, 34 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -55, 10, \\ 40, 125 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -160, -16, \\ 72, 232 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -20, 40, \\ 80, 180 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -27, 1.5, \\ 19.5, 66 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 13.5, \\ 25.5, 54 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}_{ij}$ (NB)	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 330, 430, \\ 550, 890 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}_{ij}$ (Diff.)	$\begin{pmatrix} -630, -210, \\ 210, 630 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -630, -210, \\ 210, 630 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -630, -210, \\ 210, 630 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -690, -270, \\ 60, 500 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -630, -210, \\ 210, 630 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -630, -210, \\ 210, 630 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -664, -218, \\ 218, 664 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -685, -200, \\ 250, 755 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -790, -226, \\ 282, 862 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -710, -230, \\ 140, 680 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 657, 208.5, \\ 229.5, 696 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -627, 196.5, \\ 235.5, 684 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{R}(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij})$	0	30	32	-30	15	24

Here  $\mathfrak{R}(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij})$  is negative only at (2,4) cell. Therefore entering (2,4) into the basis, Table 6 is obtained.

**Table 6.** New fuzzy basic feasible solution

Destina- tion( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$\tilde{f}_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,4, \\ 5,10 \end{pmatrix}$ (5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$ (12)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ (2)	$\begin{pmatrix} 130,160, \\ 200,310 \end{pmatrix}$
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,3, \\ 4,8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2,4, \\ 6,12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ (10)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$ (8)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$ (3)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 200,270, \\ 350,580 \end{pmatrix}$
					$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 330,430, \\ 550,890 \end{pmatrix}$

Total fuzzy cost is:

$$5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1,4, \\ 5,10 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 12 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 8 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 330,430, \\ 550,890 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 371,527.5, \\ 699.5,1178 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Re \begin{pmatrix} 371,527.5, \\ 699.5,1178 \end{pmatrix} = 694$$

Applying Steps 4, 5, 6 and 7, the obtained values of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$  for all  $(i, j) \notin B$ , are shown in Table 7.

**Table 7.** Values of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$

$(i, j)$	(1,2)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,3)	(3,1)	(3,4)
$(\tilde{C}_{ij})^1$	$\begin{pmatrix} -17,-4, \\ 4,17 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9,-2, \\ 0,7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -18,-6, \\ 1,11 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -18,-8, \\ -4,2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9,0.5, \\ 6.5,22 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,4.5, \\ 8.5,18 \end{pmatrix}$
$E_{ij}$	8	5	8	10	3	2
$\tilde{A}_{ij}$						

	$\begin{pmatrix} -136, -32, \\ 32, 136 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -45, -10, \\ 0, 35 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -144, -48, \\ 8, 88 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -180, -80, \\ -40, 20 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -27, 1.5, \\ 19.5, 66 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2, 9, \\ 17, 36 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}_{ij}$ (NB)	$\begin{pmatrix} 330, 430, \\ 550, 890 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 420, 530, \\ 750, 1100 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 420, 530, \\ 750, 1100 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 330, 430, \\ 550, 890 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}_{ij}$ (Diff)	$\begin{pmatrix} -560, -120 \\ 120, 560 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -470, -20, \\ 320, 770 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -470, -20, \\ 320, 770 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -500, -60, \\ 270, 690 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -560, -120, \\ 120, 560 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -590, -160 \\ 70, 480 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -696, -152, \\ 152, 696 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -515, -30, \\ 320, 805 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -614, -68, \\ 328, 858 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -680, -140, \\ 230, 710 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -587, -118.5, \\ 139.5, 626 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -588, -151, \\ 87, 516 \end{pmatrix}$
$\Re(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij})$	0	145	126	30	15	-34

Here  $\Re(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij})$  is negative only at (3,4) cell. Therefore entering (3,4) into the basis, Table 8 is obtained.

Table 8. New fuzzy basic feasible solution

Destination (j) → Source (i) ↓	1	2	3	4	$\tilde{f}_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 4, \\ 5, 10 \end{pmatrix}$ (5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$ (14)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 130, 160, \\ 200, 310 \end{pmatrix}$
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 3, \\ 4, 8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2, 4, \\ 6, 12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (10)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix}$ (8)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix}$ (1)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (2)	$\begin{pmatrix} 170, 230, \\ 300, 500 \end{pmatrix}$
					$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix}$

Total fuzzy cost is:

$$5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1, 4, \\ 5, 10 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 14 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 8 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 347, 498.5, \\ 664.5, 1130 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Re \begin{pmatrix} 347, 498.5, \\ 664.5, 1130 \end{pmatrix} = 660.$$

Applying Steps 4, 5, 6 and 7, the obtained values of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$  for all  $(i, j) \notin B$ , are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. Values of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$

$(i, j)$	(1,2)	(1,4)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(2,3)	(3,1)
$(\tilde{C}_{ij})^1$	$\begin{pmatrix} -17, -4, \\ 4, 17 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -18, -8.5, \\ -4.5, -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -8, 2.5, \\ 8.5, 25 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -17, -1.5, \\ 9.5, 29 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -17, -3.5, \\ 4.5, 20 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9, 0.5, \\ 6.5, 22 \end{pmatrix}$
$E_{ij}$	8	2	1	8	1	1
$\tilde{A}_{ij}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -136, -32, \\ 32, 136 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -36, -17, \\ -9, -2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -8, 2.5, \\ 8.5, 25 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -136, -12, \\ 76, 232 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -17, -3.5, \\ 4.5, 20 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9, 0.5, \\ 6.5, 22 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}_{ij}$ (NB)	$\begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 330, 430, \\ 550, 890 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 350, 450, \\ 650, 950 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490, \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}_{ij}$ (Diff)	$\begin{pmatrix} -510, -110, \\ 110, 510 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -480, -70, \\ 160, 590 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -420, -10, \\ 310, 720 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -460, -50, \\ 260, 650 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -420, -10, \\ 310, 720 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -510, -110, \\ 110, 510 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -646, -142, \\ 142, 646 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -516, -87, \\ 151, 588 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -428, -7.5, \\ 318.5, 745 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -596, -62, \\ 336, 882 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -437, -13.5, \\ 314.5, 740 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -519, -109.5, \\ 116.5, 532 \end{pmatrix}$
$\Re(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij})$	0	34	157	140	151	5

Here  $\Re(\tilde{\Delta}_{ij})$  is positive for all  $(i, j) \notin B$  So, Table 8 gives optimal solution. So,

$$5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1, 4, \\ 5, 10 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 14 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 8 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 347, 498.5, \\ 664.5, 1130 \end{pmatrix}$$

is minimum total fuzzy cost. Now, corresponding to optimal Table 8, Table of fuzzy transportation time is:

$$\tilde{T}_1 = \text{maximum} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 15, 30 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4, 5, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \end{pmatrix}$$

The 1<sup>st</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair is  $(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{T}_1) = \left( \left( \begin{matrix} 347, 498.5, \\ 664.5, 1130 \end{matrix} \right), \left( \begin{matrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \end{matrix} \right) \right)$

Table 10. Fuzzy transportation time

Destination ( <i>j</i> ) → Source ( <i>i</i> ) ↓	1	2	3	4	<i>a<sub>i</sub></i>
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 15, 30 \\ (5) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 5, \\ 8, 16 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \\ (14) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 12, 13 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 6, 7, \\ 13, 26 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5, 6, \\ 11, 22 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \\ (10) \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 2, 4, \\ 6, 12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4, 5, \\ 9, 18 \\ (8) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \\ (1) \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \\ (2) \end{pmatrix}$	11
<i>b<sub>j</sub></i>	5	8	15	12	40

**2<sup>nd</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair:**

The fuzzy costs, shown in Table 2, are modified using Step 11 and are shown in Table 11.

Table 11. Modified fuzzy costs

Destination ( <i>j</i> ) → Source ( <i>i</i> ) ↓	1	2	3	4	<i>a<sub>i</sub></i>
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 4, \\ 5, 10 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 3, \\ 4, 8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2, 4, \\ 6, 12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5, \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	11
<i>b<sub>j</sub></i>	5	8	15	12	40

The fuzzy initial basic feasible solution of new problem (*P*<sub>1</sub>) is shown in Table 12.

Table 12. Initial fuzzy basic feasible solution

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$\tilde{f}_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,4, \\ 5,10 \end{pmatrix}$ (2)	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$ (5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$ (12)	$\begin{pmatrix} 70,80, \\ 100,150 \end{pmatrix}$
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,3, \\ 4,8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2,4, \\ 6,12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$ (10)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 120,140, \\ 250,290 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$ (3)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$ (8)	$\begin{pmatrix} M,M, \\ M,M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 200,270, \\ 350,580 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i =$					$\begin{pmatrix} 390,490, \\ 700,1020 \end{pmatrix}$

Total fuzzy cost is:

$$2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1,4, \\ 5,10 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 10 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 8 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 390,490, \\ 700,1020 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 407,545, \\ 793,1211 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since total fuzzy cost does not depend upon  $M$ . So, 2<sup>nd</sup> efficient solution exists.

Applying steps 3 to 10, we get the 2<sup>nd</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair  $(\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{T}_2) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 349,501, \\ 669,1141 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5,10, \\ 15,30 \end{pmatrix} \right)$

**3<sup>rd</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair:**

The fuzzy costs, shown in Table 2, are modified using Step 11 and are shown in Table 13.

Table 13. Modified fuzzy costs

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$a_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} M,M, \\ M,M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3,6, \\ 9,18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1,3, \\ 4,8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2,4, \\ 6,12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,1, \\ 2,5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0.5, \\ 1.5,2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M,M, \\ M,M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0,0, \\ 0,0 \end{pmatrix}$	11
$b_j$	5	8	15	12	40



The fuzzy initial basic feasible solution of new problem  $(P_1)$  is shown in Table 14.

Table 14. Initial fuzzy basic feasible solution

Destination(j) → Source (i) ↓	1	2	3	4	$\tilde{f}_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6 \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$ (2)	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6 \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$ (5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (12)	$\begin{pmatrix} 70, 80 \\ 100, 150 \end{pmatrix}$
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1, 3 \\ 4, 8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2, 4 \\ 6, 12 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1 \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$ (10)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 120, 140 \\ 250, 290 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1 \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$ (5)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5 \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix}$ (6)	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0 \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 200, 270 \\ 350, 580 \end{pmatrix}$
$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i =$					$\begin{pmatrix} 390, 490 \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix}$

Total fuzzy cost is:

$$2 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6 \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6 \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 10 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1 \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 6 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 0.5 \\ 1.5, 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1 \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 390, 490 \\ 700, 1020 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 411, 550 \\ 802, 1233 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since total fuzzy cost does not depend upon  $M$ . So, 3<sup>rd</sup> efficient solution exists.

Similarly, applying Steps 3 to 10, we get the 3<sup>rd</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair.

$$(\tilde{Z}_3, \tilde{T}_3) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 357, 511 \\ 687, 1185 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4, 5 \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

**4<sup>th</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair:**

The fuzzy costs, shown in Table 2, are modified using Step 11 and are shown in Table 15.

Table 15. Modified fuzzy costs

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$a_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	19
2	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	10
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	11
$b_j$	5	8	15	12	40

The fuzzy initial basic feasible solution of new problem ( $P_1$ ) is shown in Table 16.

Table 16. Initial fuzzy basic feasible solution

Destination ( $j$ ) → Source ( $i$ ) ↓	1	2	3	4	$\tilde{f}_i$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} (4)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} (15)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 130, 160, \\ 200, 310 \end{pmatrix}$
2	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix} (10)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix} (5)$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix} (4)$	$\begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, 0, \\ 0, 0 \end{pmatrix} (2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 170, 230, \\ 300, 500 \end{pmatrix}$
					$\tilde{F}(\text{current}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{f}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix}$

Total fuzzy cost is:

$$4 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 15 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 3, 6, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 5 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, \\ 2, 5 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 4 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} M, M, \\ M, M \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 300, 390, \\ 500, 810 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4M + 357, 4M + 509, \\ 4M + 681, 4M + 1177 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since total fuzzy cost depends upon  $M$ . So, the solution is infeasible. It is not possible to find fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair. So, the algorithm terminates here.

After 3<sup>rd</sup> fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair, the solution is infeasible. So, we have three cost-time trade-off pair shown in Table 17.

Table 17. Three cost-time trade-off pair

Fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair	Total fuzzy cost	Maximum fuzzy time.
$(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{T}_1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 347, 498.5, \\ 664.5, 1130 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \end{pmatrix}$
$(\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{T}_2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 349, 501, \\ 669, 1141 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 15, 30 \end{pmatrix}$
$(\tilde{Z}_3, \tilde{T}_3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 357, 511, \\ 687, 1185 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4, 5, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$

## 6. Results

To compare the results in fuzzy and crisp environment, the problem formulated in Section 3, is solved in both fuzzy and crisp environment.

The results obtained in fuzzy environment can be explained as follows:

- 1) The total cost for first cost-time trade-off pair  $(Z_1, T_1)$  lies between 347 units and 1130 units and maximum time lies between 8 units and 34 units.
- 2) Maximum numbers of experts are in favour that total cost will be between 498.5 and 664.5 units and maximum time will be between 9 and 17 units.
- 3) The values of  $\mu_{\tilde{Z}_1}(x)$  corresponds to different values of total cost  $x \in [347, 1130]$  can be evaluated as follows:

$$\mu_{\tilde{Z}_1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\infty < x \leq 347 \\ \frac{x-347}{151.5}, & 347 \leq x < 498.5 \\ 1, & 498.5 \leq x \leq 664.5 \\ \frac{1130-x}{465.5}, & 664.5 < x \leq 1130 \\ 0, & 1130 \leq x < \infty \end{cases}$$

The values of  $\mu_{\tilde{T}_1}(t)$  corresponds to different values of maximum time  $t \in [8, 34]$  can be evaluated as follows:

$$\mu_{\tilde{T}_1}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\infty < t \leq 8 \\ \frac{t-8}{1}, & 8 \leq t < 9 \\ 1, & 9 \leq t \leq 17 \\ \frac{34-t}{17}, & 17 < t \leq 34 \\ 0, & 34 \leq t < \infty \end{cases}$$

**Table 18.** Efficient solutions of the numerical problem in crisp and fuzzy environment

Cost-time trade-off in crisp environment			Cost-time trade-off in fuzzy environment		
Cost-time trade-off pair	Total cost (Z)	Time (T)	Fuzzy cost-time trade-off pair	Total fuzzy cost ( $\tilde{Z}$ )	Fuzzy time ( $\tilde{T}$ )
$(Z_1, T_1)$	660	17	$(\tilde{Z}_1, \tilde{T}_1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 347, 498.5, \\ 664.5, 1130 \end{pmatrix}$ Rank = 660	$\begin{pmatrix} 8, 9, \\ 17, 34 \end{pmatrix}$ Rank = 17
$(Z_2, T_2)$	665	15	$(\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{T}_2)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 349, 501, \\ 669, 1141 \end{pmatrix}$ Rank = 665	$\begin{pmatrix} 5, 10, \\ 15, 30 \end{pmatrix}$ Rank = 15
$(Z_3, T_3)$	685	9	$(\tilde{Z}_3, \tilde{T}_3)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 357, 511, \\ 687, 1185 \end{pmatrix}$ Rank = 685	$\begin{pmatrix} 4, 5, \\ 9, 18 \end{pmatrix}$ Rank = 9

Similarly, the results can be explained for 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cost-time trade-off pairs.

Table 18 compares the results obtained in crisp and fuzzy environment. It is obvious, the results obtained by using the proposed approach represent the solution in more realistic manner. If there is no uncertainty about any parameter, then results of proposed approach will be same as obtained in crisp environment [36].

**7. Conclusion**

In this paper, a new algorithm is proposed for finding cost-time trade-off pairs of fuzzy bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problems occurring in real life situations. The algorithm is very easy to understand and simple to apply on real life problems and the obtained results are more flexible than existing approach [36].

The method proposed in this paper can be used to solve transportation problems like solid transportation problems, multi-objective transportation problems etc. Also, the concept of vague set [40] can be used to develop new algorithms for solving bi-criteria fixed charge transportation problems.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank the Editor-in-Chief and anonymous referees for the various suggestions which have led to an improvement in both the quality and clarity of the paper. Dr. Amit Kumar acknowledges the innocent blessings of Mehar without which it was not possible to think of the idea proposed in this manuscript. Mehar is a lovely daughter of Parmpreet Kaur (A research scholar under the supervision of Dr. Amit Kumar).

**Reference**

[ 1 ] Hirsch, W. M. and Dantzig, G. B. 1954. "Notes on linear Programming: Part XIX, The fixed charge problem". Rand Research Memorandum No. 1383, Santa Monica, California.

[ 2 ] Kuhn, H. W. and Baumol, W. J. 1962. An approximate algorithm for the fixed charge transportation problem, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 9: 1-15.

[ 3 ] Denzler, D. R. 1964. An approximate algorithm for the fixed charge problem,

- Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 16: 411-416.
- [ 4] Cooper, L. and Drebes, C. 1967. An approximate solution method for the fixed charge problem, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 14: 101-113.
- [ 5] Murty, K. G. 1968. Solving the fixed charge problem by ranking the extreme points, *Operations Research*, 16: 268-279.
- [ 6] Steinberg, D. I. 1970. The fixed charge problem, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 17: 217-235.
- [ 7] Gray, P. 1971. Exact solution of the fixed charge transportation problem, *Operations Research*, 19: 1529-1538.
- [ 8] Barr, R. S., Glover, F. and Klingman, D. 1981. A new optimization method for large scale fixed charge transportation problems, *Operations Research*, 29: 448-463.
- [ 9] Sadagopan, S. and Ravindran, A. 1982. A vertex ranking algorithm for the fixed charge transportation Problem, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 37: 221-230.
- [10] Sandrock, K. 1988. A simple algorithm for solving small fixed charge transportation problems, *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 39: 467-475.
- [11] Palekar, U. S., Karwan, M. H. and Zions, S. 1990. A branch-and-bound method for the fixed charge problem, *Management Science*, 36: 1092-1105.
- [12] Hammer, P. L. 1969. Time-minimizing transportation problems, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 16: 345-357.
- [13] Bhatia, H. L., Swaroop, K. and Puri, M. C. 1977. A procedure for time minimization transportation problem, *Indian Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics*, 8: 920-929.
- [14] Ramakrishnan, C. S. 1977. A note on the time-minimizing transportation problem, *Opsearch*, 14: 207-209.
- [15] Zadeh, L. A. 1965. Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8: 338-353.
- [16] Bellman, R. E. and Zadeh, L. A. 1970. Decision making in a fuzzy environment, *Management Science*, 17: 141-164.
- [17] Jain, R. 1976. Decision-making in the presence of fuzzy variables, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 6: 698-703.
- [18] Yager, R. R. 1981. A procedure for ordering fuzzy subsets of the unit interval, *Information Sciences*, 24: 143-161.
- [19] Campos, L. and Gonzalez Munoz, A. 1989. A subjective approach for ranking fuzzy number, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 29: 145-153.
- [20] Campos, L. and Verdegay, J. L. 1989. Linear programming problems and ranking of fuzzy numbers, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 32: 1-11.
- [21] Kim, K. and Park, K. S. 1990. Ranking fuzzy number with index of optimism, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 35: 143-150.
- [22] Liou, T. S. and Wang, M. J. 1992. Ranking fuzzy numbers with integral value, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 50: 247-255.
- [23] Maleki, H. R., Tata, M. and Mashinchi, M. 2000. Linear programming with fuzzy variables, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 109: 21-33.
- [24] Okada, S. and Soper, T. 2000. A shortest path problem on a network with fuzzy arc lengths, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 109: 129-140.
- [25] Nehi, H. M., Maleki, H. R. and Mashinchi, M. 2004. Solving fuzzy number linear programming problem by lexicographic ranking function, *Italian journal of pure and applied mathematics*, 16: 9-20.
- [26] Ganesan, K. and Veeramani, P. 2006. Fuzzy linear programs with trapezoidal fuzzy Numbers, *Annals of Operations Research*, 143: 305-315.
- [27] Mahapatra, G. S. and Roy, T. K. 2006. Fuzzy multi-objective mathematical programming on reliability optimization model, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 174: 643-659.

- [28] Mahdavi-Amiri, N. and Nasseri, S. H. 2006. Duality in fuzzy number linear programming by the use of a certain linear ranking function, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 180: 206-216.
- [29] Maleki, H. R. and Mashinchi, M. 2007. Multiobjective geometric programming with fuzzy parameters, *International Journal of Information Science and Technology*, 5: 35-45.
- [30] Allahviranloo, T., Lotfi, F. H., Kiasary, M. K., Kiani, N. A. and Alizadeh, L. 2008. Solving fully fuzzy linear programming problem by the ranking function, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 2: 19-32.
- [31] Chen, S. P. and Hsueh, Y. J. 2008. A simple approach to fuzzy critical path analysis in project networks, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 32: 1289-1297.
- [32] Noora, A. A. and Karami, P. 2008. Ranking functions and its application to fuzzy DEA, *International Mathematical Forum*, 3: 1469-1480.
- [33] Pandian, P. and Natarajan, G. 2010. A new algorithm for finding a fuzzy optimal solution for fuzzy transportation problems, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 4: 79-90.
- [34] Zimmermann, H. J. 1978. Fuzzy programming and linear programming with several objective functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1: 45-55.
- [35] Kaufmann, A. and Gupta, M. M. 1991. "Introduction to Fuzzy Arithmetics: Theory and Applications". Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- [36] Basu, M., Pal, B. B. and Kundu, A. 1994. An algorithm for the optimal time-cost trade-off in fixed charge bi-criterion transportation problem, *Optimization*, 30: 53-68.
- [37] Gupta, P. and Mehlawat, M. K. 2007. An algorithm for a fuzzy transportation problem to select a new type of coal for a steel manufacturing unit, *Top*, 15: 114-137.
- [38] Yang, L. and Liu, L. 2007. Fuzzy fixed charge solid transportation problem and algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*, 7: 879-889.
- [39] Dinagar, D. S. and Palanivel, K. 2009. The Transportation Problem in Fuzzy Environment, *International Journal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics*, 2: 65-71.
- [40] Kumar, A., Yadav, S. P. and Kumar, S. 2008. Fuzzy system reliability using different types of vague sets. *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*, 6: 71-83.